UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,264	10/04/2005	Kimiaki Tsutsui	273634US0PCT	1847
22850 7590 04/28/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,264	TSUTSUI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/1 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-19 is/are pending in the apprending of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/538,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is issued as a result of Applicant Remarks filed on 4/10/2009. Final Action filed on 1/22/2009 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 claims 10 to 100% of component A and 10-100% of component B. This statement is unclear, because at more than 90% of each component it became impossible to maintain the content of other component at the claimed level. For instance if the content of component A is 95%, the content of component B can not be higher than 5%.

Claims 6 and 10 have the same issues.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 1796

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Sawahara et al (US 6294639) herein Sawahara in combination Kawada (US 5158619) herein Kawada.

Sawahara discloses a liquid crystal aligning agent comprising a polyimide precursor having a structural unit represented by the formula (I) (see Abstract):

bivalent organic group constituting a diamine.

where R1 is a tetravalent organic group constituting a tetracarboxylic acid which has an alicyclic structure, meeting the limitations of Claim 4 (see Abstract) and R2 is a

Sawahara teaches that R1 is bicyclo[3,3,0]octane-2,4,6,8-tetracarboxylic dianhydride (BODA) (see Example 1), which is the same material as uses in the Application.

In addition, Sawahara discloses a polyimide, having formula (VII):

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & O & H & H \\
\hline
 & O & R^4 - N \\
\hline
 & O & R^4 - N
\end{array}$$
[VII]

where R3 is a tetravalent organic group constituting a tetracarboxylic acid, and R4 is a bivalent organic group constituting a diamine, such as one having repeating CH2 groups in the structure (i.e. 1,2-diaminoethane, 1,3-diaminopropane, 1,4-

Art Unit: 1796

diaminobutane and 1,6-diaminohexane, see Column 8, line 35)). In reference to Claim 8, Sawahara teaches 100% of aromatic diamine in the polyamide structure (see Example 1)

Sawahara teaches that polyamic acids of structures (I and VII) or polyamic acid and polyimide can be used together in preparation of a liquid crystal aligning agent (see Example 10).

Regarding Claim 5, 6 and 8-11 Sawahara teaches 10% -80% of alicyclic tetracarboxylic acid anhydride and aromatic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (i.e. pyromellitic, see Column 7, line 50). The advantage of having aromatic dianhydride in the polyimide structure is well known in the art. The addition of aromatics, for instance, among other advantages, increases Young modulus of the film and decreases water uptake.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made to use reasonable amount of aromatic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (i.e. 20% mol or more) in order to increase Young modulus of the film and decreases water uptake.

Regarding claims 7 and 12-18, Sawahara teaches that his aligning film is used as a part of a liquid crystal display device (see Column 1, line 5). Hence, all variations of structures, disclosed above are aligning films used in liquid crystal display device.

Regarding claim 19, Sawahara teaches a first substrate, a second substrate, a spacer, where first and second substrates separated by spacer (see Example31).

Sawahara does not teach a polyamic acid having carbazole group.

Kawada teaches a polyimide obtained by cyclodehydration of a polyamic acid (dehydrating to cause ring closure, column 4, lines 60-63), obtained by reacting tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride with diaminocarbazole (column 4, lines 64-65) with the following formula:

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

where R1 can be represented by cyclopentane tetracarboxylic anhydride (meeting the limitations of Claim4) or aromatic tetracarboxylic anhydride (meeting the limitations of claim 5) (see Table 1).

Kawada teaches a polyimide obtained by cyclodehydration of a polyamic acid (dehydrating to cause ring closure, column 4, lines 60-63), obtained by reacting one tetracarboxylic dianhydride with diaminocarbazole (column 4, lines 64-65).

Kawada teaches that his polymer can be used in optical devices, such as solar batteries (see Column 1, line 15). Kawada teaches that his material possesses good heat stability, workability of forming into a desired shape, easiness of production and inexpensiveness Kawada teaches that his polyimide possesses high heat stability (see Table 1), which is important to liquid crystal alignment film. In addition, due to the presence of active NH group in a carbazole ring, good peeling resistance is expected due to Hydrogen bond interaction between the polymer and a substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention was made that use such monomer as diaminodiphenylamine in range of 10-100% in Sawahara's polyimide precursor allows to prepare liquid crystal aligning agent with high heat stability, good workability, easiness of production and inexpensiveness, as well an enhanced resistance to peeling

Sawahara does not disclose volume resistivity values for his composition as it claimed in Claim 1.

However, he discloses a high voltage holding ratio (see Example 10), which depends on a polyamide structure and characterizes electrical resistance of the liquid crystal aligning agent. In Examiner's position, since Sawahara and the Applicant use polyamic acids of similar structure, Sawahara's composition as modified with Kawada, would have a volume resistivity values between 10E10 to 10E14 Ohm/cm.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/29/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding rejection under 35 USC 103(a), Applicant argues that The Kawada, at best, discloses that carbazole-containing polyimides have the same heat resistance as conventional polyimides but does not disclose or suggest that the inclusion of a carbazole will lead to improved heat stability.

This is incorrect. Kawada teaches a polymer with superior environmental stability (Column 3, line 30), such as heat resistance and solvent resistance (see Column 5, line 65).

As an additional motivation for adding polyimide having a carbazole ring workability of forming into a desired shape, easiness of production and inexpensiveness

Art Unit: 1796

Kawada should be mentioned. In addition, due to the presence of active NH group in a carbazole ring, good peeling resistance is expected due to Hydrogen bond interaction between the polymer and a substrate.

Regarding Sawahara, Applicant argues that Example 10 does not disclose a mixture of polyamic acids but instead discloses a mixture that contains a polyamic acid component and a polyimide component.

However, Claim 1 does not require two polyamic acids. Instead, it claims two polyamic acids or polyamic acid and polyimide.

Applicant argues that physical characteristics, claimed in claim 1 are not met by Sawahara.

However, Sawahara modified with Kawada would meet all the physical characteristics claimed, since the content of Applicant's and Sawahara's modified compositions would be identical.

Examiner agrees with Applicant's arguments regarding rejection under 35 USC 102(b). This rejection is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,264 Page 10

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James J. Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 GL Application/Control Number: 10/538,264

Page 11

Art Unit: 1796